CF/1001 SINGLE BENCH (4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION(S) NO. 4334 /2006.

PETITIONER — Neelkanth Sahu son of Shri Baran Singh Sahu aged about 44 years, working as Assistant Teacher in the Govt. Girls Primary School, Lengwara resident of Tahsil Berla District Durg (C.G.).

R.R. No. 4378/06
Presented by Shri Sameer Sahu
dated 10/8/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh Through: it's Secretary, School Education Department D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The District Education Officer Bemetara, District Durg (C.G.).
3. The Block Development Education Officer, Bemetara, District Durg (C.G.).
4. The Principal, Govt. Girls Primary School, Lengwara, Distt. Durg (C.G.).

CERTIFIED COPY
10/8/06
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 READ WITH ARTICLE 14 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT, ORDER OR DIRECTION Etc.

XI-HC-78

(30)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(S) 4334/06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	17-8-2006 Heard Shri Sameer Behar, counsel for the petitioner. Shri G.K. Beriwal, Deputy A.G. for the State/respondents, on advance copy. The grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner was appointed as Assistant Teacher on 18-9-82 and thereafter he was confirmed on the said post after two years. However, seniority is not being given to the petitioner from the date of initial appointment i.e. 18-9-82 whereas, as per the Government Policy, the Government is giving seniority to other similarly situated persons from the date of their initial appointment. Learned counsel for the petitioner drawing the attention of the Court towards the seniority list Annexure-P/2 submitted that the teachers who were appointed in the years 1983 and 1984 were given seniority from the date of their initial appointment. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 and he be directed to decide the same, to which counsel for respondents has no objection. Having heard counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, and without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with a copy of this petition & annexures to respondent No.2 within a period of 2 weeks from today and in turn, respondent No.2 shall decide the representation within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of the representation, after considering all the points raised by the petitioner in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

Barve

P.T.O.

21/8/06 22/8/06